

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/769,334	01/30/2004	Michael Maschke	P03,0570	7387
7:	590 12/14/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHIFF HARDIN LLP			JAWORSKI, FRANCIS J	
Patent Department 6600 Sears Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
233 South Wacker Drive			3737	
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/769,334	MASCHKE, MICHAEL				
. Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jaworski Francis J.	3737				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>8-3-04 (IDS)</u> .						
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1 - 4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 January 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8-3-04.</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office		·				

Art Unit: 3737

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gatzke (US6705992) in view of applicant's prior art admissions, further in view of Schuman et al (US6440072), alone or further in view of Duich (US5947907) and Lin et al (US6547730)..

Gatzke teaches that a patient monitor may consist of sensors and interface and ultrasound imager configured as a module 10 along with other vital signs modules 40, 50, 70 and using the commonmonitor display, Figs.3a-3b. Applicant's prior art admission is that the unshaded portion of Fig. 2 is old, namely that the conventional multiple sensor type monitor included sensors and their interface and associated hardware and software modules therefore. In combination however this prior art combination fails to specifically teach a hardware and software module and interface for the ultrasound probe 30 except to note that software may commonly govern each of the sensor or transducer input modalities, see cols. 4-5 bridging in Gatzke. However it would have been obvious in view of Schuman et al 100 to provide an intermediate interface of hardware and software to transfer information from a portable cable-connected ultrasound probe head to a portable imaging computer processing

Art Unit: 3737

softwareas called for in Gatzke since this allows for example infrared or wireless transmission,

In the alternative, both Duich (use of modular hardware and software modular controls) for vital sign sensor channels including spare or replaceable modules 102 docked into 104 for failsafe purposes attendant to medical monitoring and Lin et al (use of hardware and/or software modules for ease of upgrade purposes) suggest combined use of modular hardware and software respectively for physiologic parameter and ultrasound image monitoring such that incorporation of these features found in both modes into the modular hybrid system of Gatzke would have been well-known.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jaworski Francis J. at telephone number 571-272-4738.

FJJ:fjj

120705

Francis J. Jaworski Primary Examiner